



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,670	04/14/2004	William Jackson Bushnell	Bushnell 28	7536
7590	01/10/2006		EXAMINER	
Lucent Technologies Inc. Docket Administrator Room 3J-219 101 Crawfords Corner Road Holmdel, NJ 07733-3030			ELAHEE, MD S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2645	
			DATE MAILED: 01/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/824,670	BUSHNELL, WILLIAM JACKSON
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Md S. Elahee	2645

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 October 2005.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,7,8 and 18-20 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,7,8 and 18-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This action is responsive to an amendment filed 10/20/05. Claims 1, 7, 8 and 18-20 are pending. Claims 2-6, 9-17 and 21-28 have been cancelled.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 7, 8 and 18-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection which is deemed appropriate to address all of the added limitation at this time.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 7, 8 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Strauss et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,864,612).

Regarding claim 1, Strauss teaches a telecommunications switching system coupled to the remote terminal and the called party station [i.e., called party terminal] (fig.1; col.5, lines 34-40, col.9, lines 47-53).

Strauss further teaches a LIB data base [i.e., caller profile database server] coupled to the telecommunications switching system and adapted to store caller profile information for the caller [i.e., caller profile subscriber] (fig.1; col.8, lines 20-50).

Strauss further teaches a caller profile administrative server coupled to the LIB data base, the caller profile administrative server being adapted to administer the caller profile information responsive to instructions from the caller (fig.2; col.6, lines 53-59, col.8, lines 20-50, col.9, lines 14-20, 27-34).

Strauss further teaches a prompt [i.e., interactive voice response system] coupled to the caller profile administrative server, the prompt comprising a user interface adapted to receive a request from the subscriber to invoke caller profile service from the remote terminal and, responsive to the request, initiate caller information for the subscriber for a subsequent call from the remote terminal (fig.3, 4; col.6, lines 53-59, col.9, lines 14-20, 27-34, 59-63).

Regarding claim 7, Strauss teaches that a caller profile database server is adapted to store a plurality of caller profiles for the caller profile subscriber (col.8, lines 20-50).

Strauss further teaches the prompt [i.e., interactive voice response system] adapted to receive from the caller profile subscriber instructions defining which of the plurality of caller profiles shall be delivered for the subsequent call from the remote terminal (col.8, lines 20-50, col.9, lines 14-20, 27-34, 59-63).

Regarding claim 8, Strauss teaches that a caller profile database server is adapted to implement the instructions provided by the caller defining which of the plurality of caller profiles shall be delivered for the subsequent call from the remote terminal (col.8, lines 20-50, col.9, lines 14-20, 27-34, 59-63).

Regarding claim 18, Strauss teaches receiving, by the prompt [i.e., interactive voice response system], a request from the calling party to invoke caller profile service from a remote terminal (fig.3, 4; col.9, lines 14-20, 27-34, 59-63).

Strauss further teaches determining whether the calling party subscribes to the caller profile service (col.8, lines 20-50, col.9, lines 14-20, 27-34, 59-63).

Strauss further teaches responsive to a positive determination that the calling party subscribes to the caller profile service, the calling party thereby defining a caller profile subscriber, requesting from a LIB data base [i.e., caller profile database server] caller profile information associated with the caller profile subscriber (col.9, lines 14-20, 27-34, 59-63).

Strauss further teaches delivering the caller profile information to a called party terminal for a subsequent call from the remote terminal to the called party terminal (col.9, lines 14-20, 27-34, 47-64).

Regarding claim 19, Strauss teaches receiving access code [i.e., authentication information] from the calling party (fig.4A, item 211).

Strauss further teaches sending access code to the LIB data base [i.e., caller profile database server] (col.7, line 60- col.8, line 50).

Strauss further teaches receiving from the LIB data base [i.e., caller profile database server], based on validity of the access code, an instruction to play one of: a service denial announcement and a confirmation announcement to the calling party (col.8, lines 33-50, col.9, lines 14-20, 27-34).

Regarding claim 20 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 19. Furthermore, Strauss teaches receiving access code [i.e., authentication information] from the prompt [i.e., interactive voice response system], the access code having been obtained by the prompt from the calling party (col.7, line 60- col.8, line 50, col.9, lines 14-20, 27-34).

Strauss further teaches determining the validity of the access code to the LIB data base (col.8, lines 33-50, col.9, lines 14-20, 27-34).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chatterjee (US Patent 6,424,707) teach Point-to-point-to-point calling, Henrick (US Patent 6,779,020) teach Establishing communications between a calling server and a called server according to services subscribed by their respective calling and called parties and Bhandari et al. (US Pub. No. 2005/0141500) teach System and method for providing remote access to telecommunications services.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Md S. Elahee whose telephone number is (571) 272-7536. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-7547. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/824,670

Page 6

Art Unit: 2645

M.E.
ALAM ELAHEE
PATENT EXAMINER

January 6, 2006



FAN TSANG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600